

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED I		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,335	07/05/2001	Peter Bernhard Kaars	US018099	5618	
24737	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROPE	CHEA, PHILIP J			
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	01 F MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Didi Mezir		2153			
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/900,335		KAARS, PETER BERNHARD				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Philip J. Che		2153				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. tatutory period will will, by statute, or	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, Il apply and will ecause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time control of the control	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status						•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 25 Se	ptember 200	<u>)6</u> .	·				
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims				,				
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	·,						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the d	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	· ·	=		ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation		•	•					
* (See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certifie	d copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)								
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		4	Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P					
	r No(s)/Mail Date			Other:	- •	•			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to an Amendment filed September 25, 2006. Claims 1-11 are currently pending. Any rejection not set forth below has been overcome by the current Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,2,5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jones et al. (US 2002/0188841), herein referred to as Jones.

As per claims 1,7,8, Jones discloses a method of controlling communication of content information from a sender to a receiver via a data network, the method comprising:

venifying if the content information is available from at least one source other than the sender (see paragraph [0038], where a watermark detector is used find related content or information about the content from remote networks, domains or computers);

contacting a search engine if the content information is available from the at least one source, wherein the search engine determines a location within a data network of the at least one source of the content information and returns an updatable index listing sources of a copy of the content information (see last sentence of paragraph [0042] and paragraph [0043], where a search engine is used to return pointers to content items that match the search request and the pointers provide the location of the files on the computer network); and

substituting for the content information a pointer to the location of the at least one source based on the updatable index of sources returned by the search engine (see last sentence of paragraph [0042]).

Application/Control Number: 09/900,335

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 2, Jones further discloses the method of claim 1 being carried out on request of at least the sender or receiver (see paragraph [0043], where a sender or receiver can present key word search or searches automated by detecting a watermark from a particular content item of interest).

As per claim 3, Jones further discloses that verifying comprises identifying the content based on a watermark embedded in the content (see paragraph [0038]).

As per claims 9-11, Jones further discloses updating the updateable index of the search engine with information about the location of the at least one source of the content information (see end of paragraph [0042]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Zabetian (U.S. 6,327,656).

As per claim 4, although Jones disclose substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above), he fails to directly disclose verifying the content based on a fingerprint of the content. However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Jones, as evidenced by Zabetian.

In an analogous art, Zabetian discloses a system that can receive and transmit electronic mail (column 2, lines 42-45), verifying the document is the one to be received by using a fingerprint (column 7, integral integra

Given the teaching of Zabetian, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Jones by employing a fingerprinting method,

Application/Control Number: 09/900,335

Art Unit: 2153

such as disclosed by Zabetian, in order to identify and distinguish the document from other documents, even one that appear to be similar from one another (column 2, lines 4-9). It would have been obvious to use the fingerprinting system taught by Zabetian to verify that a particular document located at another source is the same document from the local source.

As per claim 5, Jones in view of Zabetian further disclose the communication being carried out depending on the sender being authorized to communicate the content information (see Zabetian column 6, lines 37-53).

As per claim 6, Jones in view of Zabetian further disclose the communication being carried out depending on the receiver being authorized to receive the content (see Zabetian column 11, lines 17-43).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/900,335

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Philip J. Chea whose telephone number is 571-272-3951. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Philip J Chea Examiner Art Unit 2153

PJC 11/28/06

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER